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メタデータ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>言語</th>
<th>eng</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>出版者:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>公開日: 2015-03-17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>キーワード (Ja):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>キーワード (En):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>作成者: Kubota, Yoshiyuki, Escano, Mary Clare Sison, Dy, Eben Sy, Nakanishi, Hiroshi, Kasai, Hideaki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>メールアドレス:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>所属:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL</td>
<td><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10098/8729">http://hdl.handle.net/10098/8729</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

© 2007 American Institute of Physics.
A study on the stability of O\textsubscript{2} on oxometalloporphyrins by the first principles calculations

Yoshiyuki Kubota
Department of Precision Science and Technology and Applied Physics, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan and Power Engineering Research & Development Center, Research & Development Department, Kansai Electric Power Co., Inc., Amagasaki, Hyogo 661-0974, Japan

Mary Clare Sison Escaño, Eben Sy Dy, Hiroshi Nakanishi, and Hideaki Kasai
Department of Precision Science and Technology and Applied Physics, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

(Received 1 September 2006; accepted 29 March 2007; published online 15 May 2007)

The authors investigated the interaction of oxometalloporphyrins (MO(por)—specifically, MoO(por), WO(por), TiO(por), VO(por), and CrO(por)—with O\textsubscript{2} by using first principles calculations. MoO(por) and WO(por) undergo reactions with O\textsubscript{2}; on the other hand, TiO(por), VO(por), and CrO(por) do not. Next, they compared the interaction of MoO(por) and WO(por) with O\textsubscript{2}. Activation barriers for the reactions of MoO(por) and WO(por) with a side-on O\textsubscript{2} are small. For MoO(por)(O\textsubscript{2}), the activation barrier for the reverse reaction that liberates O\textsubscript{2} is also small; however, that for WO(por)(O\textsubscript{2}) is large. The experimental results that photolysis with visible light or heating of Mo\textsuperscript{VI}O(tmp)(O\textsubscript{2}) regenerates Mo\textsuperscript{V}O(tmp) by liberating O\textsubscript{2} while W\textsuperscript{IV}O(tmp)(O\textsubscript{2}) does not [J. Tachibana, T. Imamura, and Y. Sasaki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 71, 363 (1998)] are explained by the difference in activation barriers of the reverse reactions. This means that bonds formed between the W atom and O\textsubscript{2} are stronger than those between the Mo atom and O\textsubscript{2}. The bond strengths can be explained by differences in the energy levels between the highest occupied molecular orbital of MoO(por) and WO(por), which are mainly formed from the a orbitals of the central metal atom and \pi' orbitals of O\textsubscript{2}. © 2007 American Institute of Physics.

[DOI: 10.1063/1.2733645]

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, though it is more and more important to reduce global environment load, there is hardly any sign that the emission of greenhouse gases is decreasing. One of the methods to overcome this may be to replace existing fossil fuels used in thermal power generators, gasoline engines, or diesel engines with hydrogen gas used in fuel cells. There are many studies on hydrogen production and fuel cells. Particularly, we are focusing on polymer electrolyte fuel cells (PEFCs) which will greatly contribute to the reduction of greenhouse gases and can be used not only for stationary power generation of residences but also as mobile power sources of vehicles. As far as the fuel cell is concerned, the biggest problem is high cost when compared to other cogeneration systems. One of the reasons is the price of platinum—the rare metal used in both the anode and cathode of fuel cells. The key to successful commercial use of fuel cells is to discover how the amount of platinum used can be reduced or how cheap substances can replace platinum. Our laboratory has been studying various alternative catalyst systems for this purpose.

In this paper, we consider using metalloporphyrin as a catalyst for electrodes. Metalloporphyrins such as dimetal bisporphyrins is experimentally observed to catalyze the four-electron reduction of O\textsubscript{2} to H\textsubscript{2}O efficiently, though they are difficult to synthesize because of the reaction processes involved. In the case of a monometal porphyrin, the selective two-electron reduction of O\textsubscript{2} to H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} occurs, but H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} decomposes polymer electrolytes. It is known that dimetal bisporphyrins react with O\textsubscript{2} to produce the \mu-peroxospecies, which have longer O–O bond length than that of gaseous O\textsubscript{2}. It is thought that the path of oxygen reduction (two electron versus four electron) is caused by differences in O–O bond length. We think that longer O–O bond lengths in dimetal bisporphyrins results in weakening of the O–O bond, favoring the four-electron reduction of O\textsubscript{2} to H\textsubscript{2}O.

It is known that Mo\textsuperscript{V}O(tmp) undergoes a reaction with O\textsubscript{2} to form Mo\textsuperscript{VI}O(tmp)(O\textsubscript{2}) at room temperature in the solid state. X-ray crystallography clarifies that the O\textsubscript{2} adsorbed in Mo\textsuperscript{VI}O(tmp) takes a side-on configuration, as shown in Fig. 1(b), and the O–O bond length of the adsorbed O\textsubscript{2} is longer than that of gaseous O\textsubscript{2}. On the other hand, O\textsubscript{2} adsorbed in metalloporphyrins (without the oxogroup) ordinarily takes an end-on configuration, and their O–O bond hardly increases in length.

We think that an increase in the O–O bond length of Mo\textsuperscript{VI}O(tmp)(O\textsubscript{2}) weakens the O–O bond and, thus, may lead to O\textsubscript{2} reduction pathway to H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} formation as in the case of dimetal bisporphyrins.

In this paper, we investigate the MoO(por), WO(por), CrO(por), TiO(por), and VO(por). The stabilities and con-
consistent structure relaxations were performed with respect to total energy by conjugate-gradient\cite{23,24} optimization for all atomic coordinates as well as for the supercell volume. The supercell containing the oxometalloporphyrin or oxometalloporphyrin dioxygen was constructed as $20 \times 20 \times 20 \text{ Å}^3$ unit cells, so that the molecules are not influenced by the molecules in the neighboring unit cells. In order to estimate the total energy of the isolated system in a vacuum from the small supercell calculations, we made all direction dipole and quadrupole corrections in the total energy.\cite{25}

**III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**A. Structures of oxometalloporphyrins**

We start our discussion by analyzing the structures of oxometalloporphyrins, as shown in Fig. 1(a). M refers to the metal atom in the center of the porphyrin ring; N1, N2, N3, and N4 are the nitrogen atoms, and O1, O2, and O3 are the oxygen atoms. The white spheres are carbon atoms, and the other small spheres are hydrogen atoms. The optimized structures for both the Mo complex and the W complex with side-on O2 result in configurations such that N1 is eclipsed by O1, and N3 is eclipsed by the bond between O2 and O3, as shown in the top view of (b). On the other hand, those complexes with end-on O2 configurations have O1 located between N1 and N2, and a carbon atom eclipsed by O3, as shown in the top view of (c).

**B. Stabilities of oxometalloporphyrin dioxygen compounds**

As a second step in our study, we analyze oxometalloporphyrin dioxygen compounds to examine their stability. Full geometry optimizations were performed for MoO$_2$(por), WO$_2$(por), TiO$_2$(por), VO$_2$(por), and CrO$_2$(por). The structural parameters after full geometry optimization of these oxometalloporphyrins are shown in Table I. The bond lengths are in angstroms and angles in degrees. The “Calc.” column gives the calculated structural parameters and the “Expt.” column gives the experimental structural parameters determined by x-ray diffraction (XRD).\cite{24-27} The symbols M, O1, N1, N2, N3, and N4 are of the same meaning as Fig. 1. M-N$_p$ shows the average of the distances between M and the planes formed by all three-atom combinations of the four nitrogen atoms, N1, N2, N3, and N4. Experimental structural parameters in Table I are from all three-atom combinations of the four nitrogen atoms, N1, N2, N3, and N4.

It is found that the structural parameters of all oxometalloporphyrins are in good agreement with the experimental results by XRD. Both the calculation results and the experimental results of TiO$_2$(por), VO$_2$(por), and CrO$_2$(por) show that the bond lengths of M-O1, M-N1(2–4), and M-N$_p$ decrease as we proceed to the right of the Periodic Table. This trend is due to differences in atomic radii, which are 1.47 Å for Ti, 1.32 Å for V, and 1.25 Å for Cr. For Cr, Mo, and W, the M-O1 bond length, M-N1(2–4) bond length in CrO$_2$(por) are shorter than those in MoO$_2$(por) and WO$_2$(por), and there is not a large difference between those of MoO$_2$(por) and WO$_2$(por). This trend can also be explained by similarities in atomic radii, which are 1.36 Å for Mo and 1.37 Å for W.

**II. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS**

The calculations were performed using the first principles simulation code Vienna \textit{ab initio} simulation package (VASP) developed at the Institut für Theoretische Physik of the Technische Universität Wien.\cite{15-18} Vanderbilt ultrasoft pseudopotentials\cite{19,20} supplied with the code were used to represent the ion core of the atoms. The pseudopotentials for the metal atoms (M=Mo, W, Cr, Ti, and V) where the semicore $p$ states are treated as valence was used. Well-converged cutoff energies of 400 eV were used to expand the plane wave basis. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof functional\cite{21,22} was adopted for generalized gradient approximation.
calculation results indicate that the binding energies of MoO(\text{por})(O_2) and WO(\text{por})(O_2) are positive and both complexes take a more stable side-on dioxygen configuration. We think that the reaction of MoO(\text{por}) or WO(\text{por}) with O_2 can occur: On the other hand, the binding energies of TiO(\text{por})(O_2), VO(\text{por})(O_2), and CrO(\text{por})(O_2) are negative, and so one may think that the reaction of TiO(\text{por}), VO(\text{por}), or CrO(\text{por}) with O_2 can hardly occur. The calculation results show that both MoO(\text{por})(O_2) and WO(\text{por})(O_2) take the side-on dioxygen configuration. This is in agreement with experimental results.\textsuperscript{12,30} As compared to the binding energy of the Mo complex, it is found that the W complex is more stable.

C. Reactivity of MoO(\text{por}) and WO(\text{por}) with a side-on O_2

The calculated potential energy profile for the reaction of MoO(\text{por}) with a side-on O_2 as a function of the distance between the Mo atom and the coming O_2 center of mass (O_2 c.m.) is presented in Fig. 2. Energies are given in eV relative to the total energies of separate O_2 and MoO(\text{por}) molecules. The optimized structures and the O–O distances of the coming O_2 at the characteristic distances of Mo–O_2 c.m. are shown in the balloons in Fig. 2. First the O_2 reaches to the metastable point A, with the O–O distance of 1.25 Å as compared with the gaseous O–O distance of 1.21 Å. As the O1 atom begins to lean to the N1 side at point A, the potential energy begins to increase toward an activation barrier. Then O_2 encounters an activation barrier of about 0.259 eV at point B, with the O–O distance of 1.31 Å. We think that an activation barrier is due to the electron repulsion between the O1 atom and the coming O_2 molecule but is much small due to energy stabilization caused by the Mo–O2 bond and Mo–O3 bond. Finally, the reaction of MoO(\text{por}) with a side-on O_2 ends up at point C, with the O–O distance of 1.42 Å. It is found that the O–O distance increases as O_2 approached the Mo atom. The Mo–O1 bond length hardly changes before and after the reaction.

The calculated potential energy profile for the reaction of WO(\text{por}) with a side-on O_2 as a function of the distance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>M–O1</th>
<th>M–N1</th>
<th>M–N2</th>
<th>M–N3</th>
<th>M–N4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MoO(\text{por})</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO(\text{por})</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.6389(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(\text{por})</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO(\text{por})</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>2.112(5)</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.120(5)</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrO(\text{por})</td>
<td>1.620(2)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.102(3)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.110(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MoO(\text{por})</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO(\text{por})</td>
<td>2.097(3)</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(\text{por})</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.120(5)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.555(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO(\text{por})</td>
<td>2.099(3)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrO(\text{por})</td>
<td>2.037(8)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.469</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Reference 26.  
\textsuperscript{b}Reference 27.  
\textsuperscript{c}Reference 28.  
\textsuperscript{d}Reference 29.
between the W atom and the O\textsubscript{2} c.m. is presented in Fig. 3. Energies are given in eV relative to the total energies of separate O\textsubscript{2} and WO\textsubscript{(por)} molecules. The optimized structures and the O–O distances of the coming O\textsubscript{2} at the characteristic distances of W–O\textsubscript{2} c.m. are shown in the balloons. First the O\textsubscript{2} reaches to the metastable point D, with the O–O distance of 1.25 Å as compared with the gaseous O2. As the O1 atom begins to lean to the O–O distance of 1.21 Å. As the O1 atom begins to lean to the O–O distance of 1.45 Å. As in the case of the reaction of WO\textsubscript{(por)} with a side-on O\textsubscript{2} ends up at point F, the O2–O3 bond length makes the reverse reaction not occur as the activation barrier of the W complex is too large.

### D. Structures of MoO\textsubscript{(por)}(O\textsubscript{2}) and WO\textsubscript{(por)}(O\textsubscript{2})

Table III shows the structural parameters of MoO\textsubscript{(por)} \times (O\textsubscript{2}) and WO\textsubscript{(por)}(O\textsubscript{2}). For MoO\textsubscript{(por)}(O\textsubscript{2}), the two bonds, Mo–N\textsubscript{1} and Mo–N\textsubscript{3}, are eclipsed by the oxoligand and the O\textsubscript{2} ligand of the coming O\textsubscript{2}, and these bond lengths are slightly longer than those of the other two bonds, Mo–N\textsubscript{2} and Mo–N\textsubscript{4}. The bond lengths of Mo–O\textsubscript{2} and that of Mo–O\textsubscript{3} are completely equal, and both are longer than that of Mo–O\textsubscript{1}. The bond length of O2–O3 is 1.42 Å, and longer than that of gaseous O\textsubscript{2}. We think that long O2–O3 bond length makes the O2–O3 bond weak and next reaction for water-forming reaction easier. Compared to MoO\textsubscript{(por)}, the Mo atom moves about 0.40 Å out of the N plane because of the attraction by O\textsubscript{2}. These bond lengths and the configuration are almost equal to those obtained by XRD (Ref. 12) though the complex used in experiment has four mesityl groups.

For WO\textsubscript{(por)}(O\textsubscript{2}), W–N\textsubscript{1} and W–N\textsubscript{3} are likewise eclipsed by the oxoligand and the O\textsubscript{2} ligand of the coming O\textsubscript{2}, and these bond lengths are slightly longer than W–N\textsubscript{2} and W–N\textsubscript{4}. The bond length of W–O\textsubscript{2} and that of W–O\textsubscript{3} are completely equal, and both are longer than that of W–O\textsubscript{1}. The bond length of O2–O3 is 1.45 Å, and longer than that of gaseous O\textsubscript{2}. We think that long O2–O3 bond length makes the O2–O3 bond weak and next reaction for water-forming reaction easier. Compared to WO\textsubscript{(por)}, the W atom moves about 0.44 Å out of the N plane because of the attraction by O\textsubscript{2}. The bond lengths of W–O\textsubscript{1} and W–N\textsubscript{1} are almost in agreement with those of XRD,\textsuperscript{30} but there are differences between calculated and XRD results for the other bond lengths. Particularly, the W–N\textsubscript{p} distance obtained by XRD is about 1.4 times larger than our calculated result. Our calculated results show that each structural parameter in WO\textsubscript{(por)}(O\textsubscript{2}) is similar to that in MoO\textsubscript{(por)}(O\textsubscript{2}) in the same

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>MoO\textsubscript{(por)}(O\textsubscript{2})</th>
<th>WO\textsubscript{(por)}(O\textsubscript{2})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M–O\textsubscript{1}</td>
<td>1.71 (13)</td>
<td>1.73 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–O\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>1.98 (18)</td>
<td>1.96 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>1.98 (19)</td>
<td>1.99 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–N\textsubscript{1}</td>
<td>2.27 (7)</td>
<td>2.28 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–N\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>2.17 (7)</td>
<td>2.16 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–N\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>2.43 (6)</td>
<td>2.44 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–N\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>2.17 (7)</td>
<td>2.16 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O\textsubscript{2}–O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>1.42 (3)</td>
<td>1.45 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–N</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Reference 12.

\textsuperscript{b}Reference 30.

W \textsuperscript{VI}O(tmp)(O\textsubscript{2}) does not.\textsuperscript{10} We think that the reverse reaction would not occur as the activation barrier of the W complex is too large.

![Fig. 2. Calculated potential energy profile for the reaction of MoO\textsubscript{(por)} with a side-on O\textsubscript{2} as a function of the distance between the Mo atom and the O\textsubscript{2} c.m. Energies are given in eV relative to the value at the point where O\textsubscript{2} is sufficiently far and does not interact with MoO\textsubscript{(por)}. The optimized structures and the O–O distances of the coming O\textsubscript{2} at the characteristic distances of Mo–O\textsubscript{2} c.m. are shown in the balloons.](image1)

![Fig. 3. Same as Fig. 2 but for WO\textsubscript{(por)} with a side-on O\textsubscript{2}.](image2)
manner that each structure parameter WO(por) is similar as that in MoO(por). The similarity between the atomic radii of W (1.37 Å) and Mo (1.36 Å) may support our calculated geometry.

E. Molecular orbitals of MoO(por), WO(por), and their dioxygen compounds

Figure 4 gives molecular orbital energy diagrams and schematic valence electron densities for MoO(por), MoO(por)(O₂), and O₂ in the order from left to right. The energy is measured from vacuum level. For each molecule, the left hand side of the molecular orbital energy diagram shows molecular orbitals for α-spin electrons, and the right hand side shows molecular orbitals for β-spin electrons. The schematic electron densities of α(β)-HOMO, α(β)-HOMO-1, and α(β)-HOMO-2 of MoO(por) and MoO(por)(O₂) are drawn with a contour surface cutoff at 0.003 e/Å³.

FIG. 4. Molecular orbital energy diagrams and schematic valence electron densities for MoO(por), MoO(por)(O₂), and O₂ in the order from left hand side to right hand side. The energy is measured from vacuum level. For each molecule, the left hand side of the molecular orbital energy diagram shows molecular orbitals for α-spin electrons, and the right hand side shows molecular orbitals for β-spin electrons. The schematic electron densities of α(β)-HOMO, α(β)-HOMO-1, and α(β)-HOMO-2 of MoO(por) and MoO(por)(O₂) are drawn with a contour surface cutoff at 0.003 e/Å³.

Next, there is no significant difference of the energy between the α-HOMO and β-HOMO in WO(por), whereas the difference of the energy between the α-HOMO and β-HOMO in WO(por) (O₂) is about 0.096 eV. It is found that the α-HOMO becomes more stable by about 1.227 eV after adsorption of O₂ and the β-HOMO stabilizes by about 1.190 eV.

Comparison of the energy difference between the HOMOs of Mo complexes with that of W complexes indicates that MoO(por) cannot become more stable than WO(por) by adsorption of O₂ since it has naturally more stable α-HOMO than WO(por).

IV. CONCLUSION

We have investigated oxometalloporyrhins [MoO(por), WO(por), TiO(por), VO(por), and CrO(por)] and the stability of O₂ adsorption on these complexes by using density-
functional theory calculations. The structural parameters of the above complexes are in agreement with the experimental results by XRD. Our calculations showed that MoO (por) and WO (por) can undergo reactions with O₂, but TiO (por), VO (por), and CrO (por) cannot. It was found that the coordinated O₂ for MoO (por) and WO (por) takes a side-on configuration than an end on. The O–O bond lengths of the O₂ adsorbed on these complexes are more than 1.4 Å.

Next, we calculated the potential energy profiles of MoO (por) and WO (por) that the O₂ addition reaction would occur. Activation barriers for the reactions of MoO (por) and WO (por) with a side-on O₂ are much smaller than their reverse reactions. The activation barrier for the reaction of WO (por) with a side-on O₂ is smaller than that of MoO (por) and hence WO (por) has greater reactivity with O₂ than MoO (por). We think that activation barriers of the O₂ addition reactions of MoO (por) and WO (por) are due to the electron repulsion between the original O atom and the coming O₂ molecule. The activation barrier for the reverse reaction of WO (por)(O₂) liberating O₂ is about twice that for MoO (por)(O₂). This indicates that the Mo–O bonds [two bonds between the Mo atom and O atoms of the coming O₂ molecule] of MoO (por) are much weaker than the case of W–O. The experimental results that photoirradiation with visible light or heating of Mo VI O(tmp)(O₂) regenerates Mo VI O(tmp) by liberating O₂ while W VI O(tmp)(O₂) does not¹⁰ are explained by the difference in activation barriers of the reverse reactions. We think that MoO (por) and WO (por) have a potential for water-forming reaction since their O₂ adducts have the O–O bond lengths longer than gaseous O₂. In addition, we assume that the reaction activity of water-forming reaction of MoO (por) would be higher than the case of WO (por) since the activation barrier of the reversible reaction liberating O₂ of MoO (por)(O₂) is much smaller than the case of WO (por)(O₂). From these reasons, we think that MoO (por) is more appropriate than WO (por) as the cathode electrode of the PEFC.

From the viewpoint of molecular orbitals, it was found that the orbitals that contribute to the O₂ addition reactions of MoO (por) and WO (por) are mainly HOMOs. The HOMOs of the MoO (por) and WO (por) were the same and were made of the d orbitals of the metal atom. On the other hand, the HOMOs of the MoO (por)(O₂) and WO (por)(O₂) were the same and were made of the π* orbitals of the coming O₂. Therefore, this resulted in a transfer in electrons from the d orbitals of the metal atom to the π* orbitals of the coming O₂ in the O₂ addition reactions for MoO and WO (por).
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