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ABSTRACT (171 words)

Purpose: To clarify the components primarily responsible for diffusion abnormalities in pancreatic cancerous tissue.

Materials and Methods: Subjects comprised 10 patients with surgically confirmed pancreatic cancer. Diffusion-weighted (DW) echo-planar imaging (b value=0, 500 s/mm²) was employed to calculate ADC. ADC values of cancer and non-cancerous tissue were calculated. Furthermore, ADC values of the cancer were compared with histopathological results.

Results: Mean (±standard deviation) ADC value was significantly lower for tumor (1.27±0.52 ×10⁻³ mm²/s) than for non-cancerous tissue (1.90±0.41×10⁻³ mm²/s, P<0.05). Histopathological examination showed similar proportions of fibrotic area, cellular component, necrosis and mucin in each case. Regarding the density of fibrosis in cancer, 3 cases were classified to the loose fibrosis group, and the remaining 7 cases were classified to the dense fibrosis group. Mean ADC value was significantly higher in the loose fibrosis group (1.88±0.39×10⁻³ mm²/s) than in the dense fibrosis group (1.01±0.29×10⁻³ mm²/s, P<0.05). In quantitative analysis, ADC correlated well with proportion of collagenous fibers (r=-0.87, P<0.05).

Conclusion: Collagenous fibers may be responsible for diffusion abnormalities in pancreatic cancer.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is a serious disease with poor prognosis. Diagnosis at an early stage, when the tumor is small and localized, is thus crucial for potential cure with surgery. Unfortunately, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is usually diagnosed in the late stages, due to nonspecific clinical signs and symptoms (1). Ultrasonography, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and endoscopic retrograde cholangiography are the most frequent diagnostic methods used for evaluating patients with clinically suspected pancreatic cancer (2-4). However, these methods have difficulty in distinguishing pancreatic cancer from other pancreatic lesions, and in differentiating tumor lesions from normal pancreas (5).

Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) has recently seen extensive use in the central nervous system (CNS) for imaging of tumors (6, 7) and acute stroke (8). Various technical advances have allowed DWI and measurement of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) for the abdominal region (9-12). Ichikawa et al. (13) reported that high b-value DWI might be a useful tool for detecting pancreatic adenocarcinoma with high sensitivity and specificity.
Yoshikawa et al. (12) reported that pancreatic cancer had an ADC value higher than that of normal pancreas, although the study included a small number of patients. To the best of our knowledge, pathological correlations with ADC values have not been reported for pancreatic carcinoma.

Demachi et al. (14) reported correlations between dynamic CT findings and the histopathological features of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, and concluded that the degree of contrast enhancement is related to histological features such as dense fibrosis, increasing cellularity, acinar tissue and mucin. Itoh et al. (15) reported that pancreatic carcinoma displays either loose fibrosis or dense fibrosis, and the histopathological features might affect tumor enhancement in early-phase contrast-enhanced CT. We therefore hypothesized that these histopathological variations might influence ADC values of the tumor. Our purpose was to clarify the components primarily responsible for diffusion abnormalities in pancreatic cancerous tissue.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The initial subject population comprised 35 patients with pancreatic cancer (24 men, 11 women; mean age, 67.2 years; range, 47-85 years) recruited between January 2005 and June 2007. We finally selected 10 subjects (7 men, 3 women; mean age, 67.7 years; range, 57-74 years) with surgically confirmed pancreatic cancer for evaluation of pathological
correlations to ADC value. Mean maximum lesion diameter was 26.1 mm (range, 11-51 mm) and lesions were located in the head (n=2), body (n=4), tail (n=1) or uncus (n=3) of the pancreas. All study protocols were approved by our institutional review board, and informed consent was obtained from all patients before enrollment in this study.

**MRI**

All MRI examinations were performed on a clinical 1.5-T scanner (Signa Excite; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) in combination with an 8-channel phased-array coil (USA Instruments, Aurora, OH). Initial imaging consisted of axial T2-weighted fast spin-echo imaging (T2-WI) with fat suppression (repetition time (TR), 2100 ms; echo time (TE), 89.2 ms; echo train length, 17 times; field-of-view (FOV), 34.0 cm; slice thickness, 4.0 mm; space, 1.0 mm; phase FOV, 1.00; asset factor, 2; number of excitations (NEX), 2; matrix, 256×224), T1-weighted dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging (T1-WI) with fat suppression (fast spoiled gradient echo recalled acquisition in the steady state; TR, 210 ms; TE, 1.7 ms; FOV, 34.0 cm; flip angle, 80°; bandwidth, 31.25 kHz; asset factor, 2; NEX, 1.0; matrix: 288×192) and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (3D fast recovery fast spin echo respiratory triggering; TR, 3300-7800 ms; effective TE, 643 (variable) ms; FOV, 36.0 cm; slice thickness, 1.6 mm; asset factor, 2; NEX, 1; matrix, 320×224), focusing on the pancreas to facilitate disease staging. Following the initial 4 sequences outlined above, DWI was acquired through
the pancreas at 20 slice locations utilizing a finger pulse-triggered diffusion-weighted single-shot spin-echo echo-planar imaging (EPI) technique (TR, time between R-peaks (R-R) ×7 ms; TE, 63.5 ms; b=0 and 500 s/mm²; RR interval, 7; trigger window, 20%; trigger delay, minimum; inter-sequence delay, minimum; cardiac phases single FOV, 34.0 cm; slice thickness, 5 mm; spacing, 1 mm; asset factor, 2; NEX, 8; matrix, 128×128). All axial images were reconstructed to 256×256 matrix images after scanning.

Analysis of DWI

DWI was analyzed using free image analysis software (Image J version 1.33; http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) on Windows to calculate ADC values of the tumor and non-cancerous tissue. All regions of interest (ROIs) were placed by the consensus decision of two radiologists (N.M., H.U.), with reference to other sequences such as T1-WI and T2-WI. ROIs were placed in tumor and non-cancerous tissue as large as possible. Areas of ROIs were between 59.9 mm² and 246.9 mm² (mean, 117.2 mm²; median, 100 mm²) and pixel counts of ROIs were between 34 and 140 pixels (1 pixel: 1.76 mm²).

When ROIs were drawn, great care was taken to exclude both the dilated pancreatic duct and cystic lesion including retention cyst and pseudocyst to reduce any error in precise ADC calculations. When the b value was 500 s/mm², ADC value (D) was calculated according to the following formula (16):
\[ \ln \left[ \frac{S(500)}{S(0)} \right] = -b \cdot D \]

**Histological analysis**

Resected tumor specimens were fixed in formalin, then stained using hematoxylin and eosin (HE) for diagnosis. By referring to DWI and photographs of the gross specimen, a pathologist (Y. I., with 20 years of experience) and a radiologist (N.M., with 13 years of experience) determined the sections of each tumor that corresponded to the DWI slice plane by consensus. Each area proportion of histological findings of pancreatic cancer such as fibrosis, cellular component, necrosis and mucin were visually rated in 4 grades (Grades 1-4) in each case. Grades of each pathological feature occupying the whole specimen were defined as follows: Grade 1, 0-15%; Grade 2, 15-30%; Grade 3, 30-45%; and Grade 4, 45-60%.

Furthermore, patients were classified into two groups: a loose fibrosis group; and a dense fibrosis group (15). Grades and classifications in each case were again decided by the consensus decision of two authors (N.M., Y.I.).

Specimens were also stained with trichrome to dye collagen fibers blue for quantitative evaluation of fibrosis in pancreatic cancer. For quantitative evaluation, the proportion of collagenous fiber was determined in 10 views (histological fields ×40) corresponding to the tumor ROI on DWI. Image analysis software (Mac Scope version 2.56; Mitani, Fukui, Japan) on a Macintosh computer was used for this evaluation. Selection of
these 10 visual fields was determined by the consensus decision of two authors (N.M., Y.I.).

Statistical analysis

ADC values were compared between tumor and non-cancerous tissue using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs test. In addition, ADC values were compared between the loose fibrosis group and dense fibrosis group using the Mann-Whitney test. Relationships between ADC value of tumor and proportion of collagenous fiber were analyzed by simple regression with Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Values of $P<0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patient profiles are summarized in Table 1. Mean (±standard deviation) ADC value was significantly lower for the tumor ($1.27±0.52 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s) than for non-cancerous tissue ($1.90±0.41 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s, $P<0.05$) (Fig.1). Regarding the density of fibrosis, 3 cases were classified to the loose fibrosis group, and the remaining 7 cases were classified to the dense fibrosis group. As shown in Figure 2, mean ADC value was significantly higher for the loose fibrosis group ($n=3$; $1.88±0.39 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s) than for the dense fibrosis group ($n=7$; $1.01±0.29 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s, $P<0.05$). Representative cases are shown in Figures 3 and 4.

Under 4-step visual evaluation (Table 2), mucin and necrosis were judged as grade 1
(<15%) in all cases. Concerning cellular components, 1 case was judged as grade 4, but the remaining 9 cases were judged as grade 3. Concerning fibrosis, 2 cases were judged as grade 3, but the remaining 8 cases were judged as grade 4.

In the loose fibrosis group, histopathological examination showed that edematous fibrosis and loose collagen fibers were more prevalent than cellular component or mucin (Fig. 3C, D). In the dense fibrosis group, dense collagenous fibers were dominant compared with cellular component, coagulative necrosis and mucin (Fig. 4C, D), and pathological features differed completely from those in the loose fibrosis group. A significant correlation was identified between ADC values and mean proportion of collagenous fibers (r=-0.87, P<0.05) (Fig. 5).

DISCUSSION

In this study, mean ADC value was significantly lower for the tumor than for non-cancerous tissue. However both ADC values varied widely and a large overlap was apparent. Histopathological examination demonstrated similar areas of fibrosis, cellular component, necrosis and mucin, but density of fibrosis varied widely between cases. With regard to the density of fibrosis, 3 cases were classified to the loose fibrosis group, and the remaining 7 cases were classified to the dense fibrosis group. ADC values were significantly higher for the loose fibrosis group than for the dense fibrosis group. Furthermore, quantitative analysis revealed that ADC correlated well with the proportion of collagenous fibers.
Several authors have reported imaging findings in relation to histological features of pancreatic carcinoma (14, 15, 17, 18). Cellular component represents one of the histopathological features that could correlate with ADC value. Sugahara et al. (6) reported that minimum ADC value correlates well with histological cellularity in gliomas. Guo et al. (7) also reported a clear inverse relationship between ADC value and cellular component of brain tumors, such as lymphoma and high-grade astrocytoma. ADC value was significantly lower in lymphomas than in high-grade gliomas, while cellular component was significantly greater in lymphomas than in high-grade gliomas. Those findings suggest that increased cellularity is associated with more restricted diffusion. In the present study, visual grading of the cellular component was grade 3 for 9 cases and grade 4 for 1 case, while density of the cellular component in each case was the same. We thus considered the cellular component as one cause of restricted diffusion. However, no major differences in grade or density of the cellular component were seen between the present cases, so the influence of cellular component on ADC values was difficult to discern in this study.

Necrosis is also one of the pathological features in pancreatic cancer, and might be associated with increasing diffusion. Lyng et al. (19) reported that the fraction of massive necrosis could correlate with ADC values, but not the fraction of small necrotic foci, as the size of such foci may be smaller than voxels on MRI. In the present study, degree of necrosis was grade 1 in all cases, representing a small factor in pathological features. Necrosis was
thus considered to display no correlation with ADC value.

Mucin may be associated with more restricted diffusion compared with other fluids similar to water. Yamashita et al. (20) reported that DWI can help differentiate mucin-producing tumor (MPT) of the pancreas from other cystic lesions, as viscous fluid within MPT displays restricted water diffusion. However, the presence of mucin in our study was judged to be grade 1 and showed the same degree in all cases. We considered that mucin within a solid tumor would probably show increased diffusion compared with other solid components. Mucin was thus considered one factor affecting ADC values, but not the main cause for restriction of water diffusion in pancreatic cancer.

Fibrosis (desmoplasia) is the most characteristic feature of pancreatic cancer, and might be associated with restricted diffusion. Bachem et al. (21) reported that pancreatic carcinoma cells induce fibrosis by stimulating proliferation and matrix synthesis of stellate cells, which strongly support tumor growth and result in increased deposition of connective tissue. Previous research has reported that increasing development of fibrous connective tissue in the liver would decrease ADC values and might decrease water content histologically (22-26). In this study, ADC values were significantly higher in the loose fibrosis group than in the dense fibrosis group in cancerous areas. Furthermore, a significant correlation was identified between ADC values and mean proportion of collagenous fibers. For example, between Case 5 and Case 6, visual grading of fibrotic area was the same (grade 4), but density
of fibrosis differed and the proportions of collagenous fibers were 9.3% and 52.8%, respectively. In the loose fibrosis group, histological examination showed edematous fibrosis and loose collagen fibers as pathological features and ADC values were higher in the cancerous area. This result was consistent with the findings of a previous study (12). Conversely, the dense fibrosis group exhibited lower ADC values in the cancerous area. We thus considered that increases in collagenous fibers are generally seen in pancreatic cancer and fibrosis can be present as dense fibrosis or edematous fibrosis, with differing histopathological findings associated with various ADC values for pancreatic cancer.

In this study, tumors with a similar degree of fibrosis of around 50% still showed large variations in ADC for the 7 patients who showed lower ADC in the tumor compared to the non-cancerous pancreas (Fig. 5). Visual grading of each pathological component was nearly equal in each case (Table 2). Variations in ADC value for the 7 patients who showed lower ADC in the tumor compared to non-cancerous pancreas thus could not be clearly explained by visual grading alone. As each visual grade has a range of 15% in each grade, the summation of ranges for the 4 components may be responsible for variation in ADC values for the 7 patients.

Measurement of ADC value was not clinically useful for detecting pancreatic carcinoma, including tumor extent, as ADC values vary and overlap between cancer and non-cancerous tissues. However, ADC values in cancer tissue might be affected by
differences in histopathological features. In particular, density of fibrosis might be one of the major causes of variable ADC values. Clarification of correlations between histopathological features and ADC values might lead to improved evaluation of the prognosis and efficacy of treatment for pancreatic cancers in future.

The present study displays some limitations. First, the number of surgically resected subjects was limited, as many cases were inoperative due to advanced pancreatic cancer.

Second, regarding the amount of fibrosis, we performed quantitative evaluation of the proportion of fibrosis. However, cellular components such as necrosis and mucin that form other major pathological features were only visual evaluated from grade 1 to grade 4. Quantitative evaluation of these histological features using specific stainings is also warranted to clarify whether these histological features area can cause variations in ADC value.

Third, although we calculated ADC values in tumor and non-cancerous tissues and compared these values between tumor and non-cancerous tissues, ADC values of non-cancerous tissues varied widely (1.35-2.57). Actually, non-cancerous tissues might be affected by diffuse fibrosis due to chronic pancreatitis, active inflammation, pancreatic duct dilatation or pseudocysts due to acute pancreatitis. Such findings are commonly seen as secondary changes in patients with pancreatic carcinoma (27). Assessment of fibrosis in the non-cancerous pancreas should thus be added. However, pathological assessment of the non-cancerous tissues was impossible, as insufficient non-cancerous specimens were obtained.
Ideally, calculation of mean ADC values for normal pancreatic tissue from normal volunteers and comparison between ADC values for tumors and mean values of normal pancreatic tissue would be performed.

Fourth, regarding the histopathological examination, we visually evaluated intratumoral mucin to determine the grading using only HE staining. However, additional intratumoral mucin would be present that was not visualized without specific stains, such as Periodic Acid Schiff reaction or Alcian Blue stain. The true amount of mucin might thus be greater than the quantity of mucin identified in this study.

Fifth, imaging investigations were performed using a relatively low b value (b=500 s/mm²), to minimize motion artifacts and improve signal-to-noise ratio in abdominal organs. However, the use of higher b values is more desirable to reflect true diffusion. Only two b values (500 and 0 s/mm²) were used to measure ADC values, due to limitations on inspection time in the clinical setting. Ideally, multiple b values should be set up for exact measurement of ADC values.

Finally, echo-planar DWI is limited by notable susceptibility artifacts in the abdomen, due to abdominal wall motion and adjacent bowel gas. DWI techniques that are insensitive to susceptibility artifacts are thus necessary for the evaluation of abdominal lesions. We used a finger pulse-triggered diffusion-weighted single-shot spin-echo EPI technique with parallel imaging to reduce motion and susceptibility artifacts. As line-scan DWI is inherently
insensitive to susceptibility artifacts (28), clinical application of line-scan DWI to abdominal lesions may be desirable.

In conclusion, ADC correlates well with proportion of collagenous fibers, which may thus be responsible for diffusion abnormalities in pancreatic cancer.
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Table 1: Summary of patients with pancreatic cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age/sex</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Tumor Size (mm)</th>
<th>ADC value (tumor) ×10^{-3} mm²/s</th>
<th>ADC (non-cancerous tissue)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>64F</td>
<td>uncus</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.15±0.31</td>
<td>2.57±0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70F</td>
<td>uncus</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.42±0.17</td>
<td>2.05±0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>57M</td>
<td>body</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.28±0.19</td>
<td>2.51±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>77M</td>
<td>tail</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.18±0.17</td>
<td>1.93±0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>71M</td>
<td>body</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.32±0.22</td>
<td>1.7±0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>73M</td>
<td>body</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.12±0.16</td>
<td>2.09±0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>68M</td>
<td>head</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.76±0.16</td>
<td>1.52±0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>68M</td>
<td>body</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.04±0.14</td>
<td>1.79±0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>74F</td>
<td>head</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.57±0.21</td>
<td>1.53±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>55M</td>
<td>uncus</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.89±0.13</td>
<td>1.35±0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Visual grading of tumor components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Fibrosis</th>
<th>Necrosis</th>
<th>Cellularity</th>
<th>Mucin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 (dense)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 (dense)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 (dense)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 (dense)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4 (loose)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4 (dense)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4 (loose)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3 (dense)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4 (loose)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4 (dense)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 4 grades of each pathological feature occupying the specimen were defined as: Grade 1, 0-15%; Grade 2, 15-30%; Grade 3, 30-45%; Grade 4, 45-60%. Fibrosis was classified by the density of collagen fiber as loose or dense.
FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1: Summary of ADC values in patients with pancreatic cancer (n=10)**

Mean (±standard deviation) ADC value was significantly lower in tumor (1.27±0.52×10⁻³ mm²/s) than in non-cancerous tissue (1.90±0.41×10⁻³ mm²/s, P<0.05).

**Figure 2: Scatter plots of ADC values in the loose fibrosis group (n=3) and dense fibrosis group (n=7)**

Mean ADC value was significantly higher in the loose fibrosis group (1.88±0.39×10⁻³ mm²/s)
than in the dense fibrosis group \((1.01\pm0.29\times10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}, \text{P}<0.05)\). No overlap between groups was apparent.

**Figure 3: A 71-year-old man with well-differentiated ductal adenocarcinoma of the pancreas head**

A) ADC mapping demonstrates the tumor (white arrow) as a signal hyperintense lesion, and ADC values for the tumor and non-cancerous tissue were \(2.32\times10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}\) and \(1.70\times10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}\), respectively. ROIs were placed in the tumor and non-cancerous tissue as large as possible. B) Corresponding respiratory-triggered T2-weighted MRI shows the tumor as a signal hyperintense lesion (white arrow). C) Edematous collagenous fibers (black arrow) were found in this pancreatic cancer (HE; original magnification \(\times10\)). D) Edematous collagenous
fibers (black arrow) were stained by trichrome, dyeing blue. The proportion of collagenous fiber occupying visual fields was 9.3% (original magnification ×40).

**Figure 4:** A 73-year-old man with well-differentiated ductal adenocarcinoma in the body of the pancreas

**A)** ADC values of this tumor (black arrow) and non-cancerous tissue were $1.12 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s and $2.09 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s, respectively. ROIs were placed in the tumor and non-cancerous tissue as large as possible. **B)** Corresponding respiratory-triggered T2-weighted MR image shows the tumor as the low signal lesion (white arrow). **C)** Dense collagenous fiber (black arrow) was found in this pancreatic cancer (HE, original magnification×10). **D)** Dense collagenous fibers (black arrow) were stained blue by trichrome dyeing. The proportion of collagenous
fibers occupying visual fields was 52.8% (original magnification×40).

**Figure 5: Scatter plot of ADC value of tumor versus proportion of collagenous fiber**

A significant correlation was identified between ADC value and proportion of collagenous fibers ($r=-0.87$, $p<0.05$).